Ground Zero Services

What is the Last thing that You Know is True?

There is no way to guarantee the children see a dime

JIM
Ground Zero Services of LB

The truth comes in one package but lies come in many: promises, cover-ups, inoperative statements, falsehoods misspeaking yourself, betrayal, ignorance, fraud, or protecting the presidency.

There is a spirit behind every law that is put on the books. Typically the new law is intended to protect the innocent from a new threat. Sometimes the new law is justified by a package that allows financial rewards.

In California the law allows for a mandatory 30 day impound of a vehicle if you drive the car with a suspended driver's license. The spirit of the law was to punish the deadbeat dads who had their driver's license suspended for being behind in child support payments. However, people are punished for loaning their vehicle without any means to validate a driver's license, which may be suspended for various new reasons.

In California the law allows for a $500 fine if an employer discriminates against an employee because of child support garnishments. The spirit of the law was to protect the state from legal liability for not complying with a federal mandate.

Inside This Issue

Did You Find the Beginning, So Now You Seek the End?

It's intuitively obvious, I can teach a monkey to do it

JIM
Ground Zero Services of LB

We focus our faith on those known as the meek
The abundance of faith that they have and we seek
The incalculable odds that brought them before us
The unbearable thought they would not be among us

We fear for their safety, we regard them naïve
We teach them distrust, so they are not deceived
We show them survival in a world we created
We preach one religion, and all others negated

Our history we share with them, the good and the bad

On a Blind Date with Destiny, and She Ordered the Lobster

I am ready to be debriefed

JIM
Ground Zero Services of LB

Your omission, Jim, if you decide to accept it, is to participate in the family law system in the state of California and baseline the process to insure that they are in compliance with a federal mandate. The tools at your disposal are federal laws, state laws, mathematical laws, control system theory, the Heisenberg uncertainty principal, and the Internet. You must adhere to the unified goal of preservation of the family, welfare of the family members, and insuring family prosperity. You are to follow the process, tell the truth, and stay within the chain of command. You must waive your right to instigate issues that would alter the course of the process and be aware that even though you are employed full time earning $60,000 per year you will forced to operate

Front Row, Box Seat, Air Conditioned; Didn't see the Show

Money spent on the children … nobody knows

JIM
Ground Zero Services of LB

The fate of our nation, was thrust into the hands, of the residents of a state, who chose not to be there. What if those absentee balloters all voted for Ross Perot? Our voice as citizens trying to guide our own fate, are drown out by the racket of a broken down machine. Our vote is like money. We all put in our two cents worth and try to win a majority. If our votes are misspent we may all feel we were cheated. A statistical breakdown of votes counted and then applied to the electoral votes cast, and the regions of origin, and the distribution of the fields of force that determined the outcome can be measured, studied, plotted, and charted.

The same is true for anyone buying a lottery ticket. An extensive breakdown of how the money acquired from the operation is used to benefit our children through our schools. A distribution of regional areas and the amount of money contributed. What the money was used for within that region and a breakdown of what equipment was bought as a result.

The money spent by the breadwinners to support their children is also under close scrutiny. The court order amounts, the amount of arrears, the interest accrued, and the amounts paid to the custodial parent are computed to the dime. Money spent on the children … nobody knows.

●●●●

What is the Last thing that You Know is True? *Continued from page 1*

However, the fine is a frivolous small claims civil action and the victim is unable to use the violation as a lawful excuse in a non-support charge in criminal court.

In California the law allows custody of children to be granted to parents who can't possibly support them. The spirit of the law is to force the parents to spend all their money in family court, fighting for custody of the children. It also insures the landlords will have one more tenant. However, the credit card companies must discharge one more debt, welfare will have additional participants, another taxpayer is dropped from the roles, and more children will never attend college.

In California the law allows for up to one year in jail for failing to provide the support or remedial care for the children. The spirit of the law is to extort outrageous amounts of money from deadbeat dads in exchange for their indentured servitude. However, the money is given to the custodial parent. There is no way to guarantee the children see a dime.

●●●●

Did You Find the Beginning, So Now You Seek the End? *Continued from page 1*

Our own life's experience, all the times that we've had
After all of this training, we may decide they are ready
We allow them to face life with no blanket or teddy

The meek use your wisdom and attempt to be like you
You may feel that your efforts instilled the wrong virtue
The older we get and the longer we live
We find the greatest gift is not what we can give

The meek were equipped with all faith and no sin
It is not what we add, but rather what we keep in
As life tries to leave us and we seek life's true meaning
We realize our end is spent in search of the beginning

We are closest to God on the day of our birth
Of course, as the meek, we will inherit the Earth
The kingdom of God is all around you its true
Gaze into God's eyes to see a reflection of you

For life everlasting we can focus our faith
The meek have the power to capture our wraith
We can embrace this new view and share it and stick to it
It's intuitively obvious, I can teach a monkey to do it

●●●●

On a Blind Date with Destiny, and She Ordered the Lobster *Continued from page 1*

on $200 per week. We have reason to believe that your employer will use the family law proceedings and judgements to terminate your employment. You are to use all available means to resist this. You are to collect all pertinent evidence and organize a database to allow determination of the level of involvement of all agencies involved with your case. If you are convicted and imprisoned we will disavow any knowledge of your activities. This state will self destruct if you are not successful. Good luck, Jim.

This omission to provide support and remedial care to my children has been a test of character. The anticipated discrimination by my employer due to child support garnishments and time off to participate in family law proceedings has occurred. I feel my employer was reacting to a Department of Defense investigation into my financial insolvency threatening my clearance. The method used to calculate my weekly contribution erroneously put my garnishment at the federally allowed maximum (65%). I fear that the spirit of the law that begged the creation of US Code Title 42 Section 666 b.6.d has been betrayed. A federal law is not recognized as a lawful excuse in the criminal court and is a frivolous pursuit in civil court. Problem identification, corrective action, damage control, and level of involvement. I am ready to be debriefed.

●●●●

GZS Baselines Child Support Control System Part VI

Attempt to acquire a taste for baloney

JIM
Ground Zero Services of LB

When I was little, we used to play a game called "*tittie whistle*", but it is actually a form of locker room hazing. You pinch the victim's tittie and continue to pinch it until he can somehow whistle. If you have never played the game, don't feel left out. I can tell you from experience though, it is not easy to whistle when someone is pinching your tittie, and the harder they pinch the more difficult it is to whistle.

Not unlike "*tittie whistle*" the procedures used to extort money from deadbeat dads involves making it unbearable to provide the outrageous amounts of money ordered, and if they fall behind in paying the ordered amounts it is made even more difficult to provide. To the point where they put them in jail, which makes it impossible to provide. This is known as "*pinching the tittie too hard*."

How to gain financial independence in California:

1) Prepare for divorce
  a) Discretely put money aside in private savings
  b) Network with divorce coaches to establish strategy
  c) Have your spouse buy you a boob job
  d) Bone up on the current relationship rhetoric

2) Provoke domestic violence incident.
  a) Assassinate the character of your spouse to family
  b) Spark an extramarital affair with spouse's friends
  c) Physically abuse 18 month-old child in front of spouse
  d) Drain checking account and max out credit cards
  e) If you can't provoke an incident, make one up
  f) Call 911 to allow you to take kids from house
  g) Stay with divorce coach but say you stayed in a shelter
  h) Seek restraining order and kick-out order
  i) Move back into house and inherit all furnishings

Inside Next Issue

3) Retain expensive family law attorney
  a) Seek physical custody of children
  b) Petition for legal separation
  c) Seek child support and arrearage payments
  d) Freeze bank accounts and start wage garnishment
  e) Instigate many false issues to require legal response
  f) Schedule many unnecessary court appearances
  g) Pay attorney with child support money
  h) Release attorney, when respondent loses attorney

4) Live it up
  a) Establish personal credit
  b) Buy a new car
  c) Take college courses
  d) Get that plastic surgery
  e) Join a health club
  f) Force oldest child to baby-sit
  g) Arrange weekend visitation for romantic getaways
  h) Obtain part time job to increase your income
  i) Only spend a significant portion of money on kids

5) Request to enter default dissolution of marriage
  a) Withhold notice of date set for trial from respondent
  b) Request sole physical custody of children
  c) Request half of college fund in retirement account
  d) Request other half of college fund for arrears
  e) Request whatever you want since it's uncontested

6) File for CS enforcement when obligator loses job
  a) Apply for welfare
  b) Move into fiancé's house in retirement community
  c) Fly kids to grandma's and tour Europe with fiancé
  d) Try to use oldest child's freedom to extort money
  e) Arrest obligator during oldest child visitation
  f) Try to use oldest child's freedom to extort money

7) Receive college fund money due to court order
  a) Throw money on the bed and roll around naked
  b) Discontinue welfare
  c) Kick oldest child out of fiancé's house
  d) Slander oldest child to child's friends
  e) Fly to Cozumel Mexico for romantic get-away
  f) Fly friends and relatives to attend wedding
  g) Marry your fiancé and move into his residence

8) Sentence obligator for criminal nonsupport
  a) Explain why oldest child was abandoned
  b) Explain European tour while on welfare
  c) Explain living in fiancé's house while on welfare
  d) Explain $3,000 bank account while on welfare
  e) Explain new car bought while on welfare
  f) Explain reason for perjuring yourself in court
  g) Explain source of money to retain costly attorney
  h) Explain reason for abusing 18 month-old child
  i) Discover a new meaning for "*visitation*" in jail
  j) Wonder how you can possibly live with yourself
  k) Attempt to acquire a taste for baloney

●●●●

Child Support Control System Analysis VI

JIM

Ground Zero Services of LB

The purpose of this analysis is to draw together the structure of the proposed child support control system. The following elements are essential for compliance with *CA Family Code 4053.e* which seeks to place the interests of children as the state's top priority. **California can lead by example in solving child support and benchmark welfare reform for no extra charge.**

1) **Legislation to implement at-birth determination of the biological parents of children.**
   Every child should have the right to know the identity of its biological parents. Paternity testing must be made a part of childbirth procedures of the medical facility delivering the child. The results of the test should appear on each child's birth certificate. A legal copy of the birth certificate must be made available to the parents as soon as possible.

2) **Legislation to quantify the amount of child support money actually used to support the children.**
   Every child should have a legal right to *all* money ordered for its support. The existing *CA Family Code 4053.i* which states that it is *presumed* that a parent having primary physical responsibility for the children contributes a *significant portion* of available resources for the support of the children is not sufficient to protect the children's rights.

3) **Legislation for harsher penalty for employer discrimination related to family law proceedings or judgements.**
   Every employee should have the right to participate in family law proceedings and abide by court orders. The CS enforcement agency must be forced to intervene immediately if funds are not transferred from the employer as required by the system. If the failure to provide or refusal to hire stems from employer discrimination based on the effect of family law proceedings or judgements, the employer may face a fine as required by *US Code Title 42 Section 666 .b.6.D*. The existing *CA Family Code 5290* which only provides for a maximum $500 fine is not sufficient to comply with the current federal mandate.

4) **An analytical method to qualify the system as it relates to the support of the children and its affect on family members.**
   A functional family has the right to continue functioning after divorce. A "*typical family*" model must be adopted to insure the children receive support without sabotaging the functionality of the family. The equations, accounting, database, and arbitration functions must be implemented to allow real time correction and qualitative review. **Appendix One** shows GZS proposed block diagram of "*typical family*" system and identifies entry points of outside influence.

5) **A fair, equitable, rebuttable, real-time method for setting each parent's monetary contribution for child support.**
   Every litigant should have the right to due process. *CA Family Code 4055* is derived from mysterious mathematical origins and is completely insufficient. A fair and equitable equation to calculate each parent's contributions to child support must be derived from constants that may vary depending on each parent's situation in life. The only method to insure that child support orders will be followed is to allow the affected parties to be present to offer rebuttal (no default). The contributions of each litigant must have the ability to change as the system continuously monitors the use of this money for the support of the children or changes in income. **Appendix Two** shows GZS proposed equations that are derived from real-life constants, allows real-time correction, and removes custody from the equation.

6) **Electronic financial accounting system for money transfer between CS enforcement, payee, obligator, and employers.**
   Everyone should have the right to utilize current technology. Child support contributions from each parent will be electronic transfer from parent or employer to the CS enforcement agency, which then transfers money to a separate charge account used for expenses related to the children. This allows proof of payment to comply with *CA Family Code 4053.1* which demands that all child support orders guarantee children receive fair, timely, and sufficient support. If disputes arise concerning contribution amounts transferred from employer or obligator to CS enforcement agency, a process to arbitrate the dispute must be implemented.

7) **Computerized database system to collect and quantify expenditures regarding child support.**
   Everyone should have the right to get what they pay for. Expenses related to the children will be made using a separate charge account. The itemization of individual charges will be incorporated into a summary at the end of each month for review by each parent and the CS enforcement agency. Unauthorized charges or an excessive balance may be cause for adjustments to contributions. Data gathered will be used throughout the system to better quantify the amount of child support required for a family of this type. This system can be implemented voluntarily by parents prior to separation to alleviate drastic impact to separate financial stability after separation. If disputes arise concerning the use of funds for the support of the children, a process to arbitrate the dispute must be implemented.

Appendix One: GZS Proposed " *Typical Family*" System

The functional block diagram of the "*typical family*" is shown in **Figure One**. Employee productivity is a feedback path to the employer. The support of the children is a feedback path to the parents. Both of these feedback paths provide opportunity for outside influence to be allowed to factor into the system.

A more detailed block diagram is shown in **Figure Two**. The feedback paths described above flow into the respective **H** blocks.

1) H_1 is a block that is included within the employer functional block and can be used to be an entry point of outside influence (loop compensation). H_1 can be a combination of the employer and CS enforcement to work together to assure that the employee will not be denied advancement or terminated due to family law related effects on productivity.
2) H_2 is a block that is included within the Parents functional block and can be used to be an entry point of outside influence (loop compensation). H_2 can be a combination of the parents and CS enforcement to work together to assure that the children will not be denied support or kicked out of the house due to family law related effects on their support.


**Figure One**: Functional block diagram of typical family.

Figure Two: Typical family detailed block diagram. Compensation blocks H_1 and H_2 allow outside influence.

Appendix Two: GZS Proposed Child Support Equation

The equations below are based on multipliers, coefficients of variables, and constants. The constants represent real-life quantities that may vary depending on the parent's situation in life. The coefficients are calculated using the constants. The multipliers are calculated using the coefficients and the related variable. The contribution of each parent and the amount related to alimony can then be calculated. C_S is the amount that must be deposited in a separate account that will be used for child support. It is very important to realize that this methodology eliminates custody as a factor in child support contributions. The multipliers derived below are plotted with respect to their associated variable in **Figures One, Two, Three, Four, and Five.**

C_S	$= C_{Smax} * K_2 * K_3$	$=$ Child support money to be received by children.
C_{SH}	$= C_S * K_4$	$=$ Child support contribution of high earner.
C_{SL}	$= C_S * [1 - K_4]$	$=$ Child support contribution of low earner.
C_A	$= C_S * K_0 * K_1$	$=$ Alimony amount to supplement low earner (tax deductible).

Where: C_{Smax} = Maximum child support amount (ceiling amount).
$\quad\quad$ K_0 = Alimony Amount multiplier varies with each income.
$\quad\quad$ K_1 = Alimony Disbursement multiplier varies with time.
$\quad\quad$ K_2 = Income multiplier varies with total income.
$\quad\quad$ K_3 = Children multiplier varies with number of kids.
$\quad\quad$ K_4 = Support multiplier varies with each income.

Let: T_N = $H_N + L_N$ = Total net income of both earners.
$\quad\quad$ H_N = High earner net income.
$\quad\quad$ L_N = Low earner net income.

Using the constants designated in the equations below: $\quad C_S = 1000 * [1 - \exp(-T_N / 2.85)] * [0.11 * \textbf{Kids} + 0.89]$

$K_0 = -0.5 * (L_N / H_N) + 0.5$
$\quad\quad$ $L_N/H_N =$ [%] $\quad$ = Low/High earner net income variable.

$K_1 = A_1 * \textbf{Time} + B_1$
$\quad\quad$ **Time** = [mo] = Time variable.
$\quad\quad$ A_1 = [-0.04] = $(K_{1max} - K_{1min}) / (t_{max} - t_{min})$
$\quad\quad$ B_1 = [1.04] = $(t_{max} * K_{1min} - t_{min} * K_{1max}) / (t_{max} - t_{min})$

K_{1min}	=	[1]	= Value of Alimony Disbursement multiplier at t_{min}
t_{min}	=	[1]	= First value of **Time**
K_{1max}	=	[0]	= Value of Alimony Disbursement multiplier at t_{max}
t_{max}	=	[24]	= Last value of **Time**

$K_2 = 1 - \exp(-T_N / B_2)$
$\quad\quad$ T_N = [\$/mo] = Total net income variable.
$\quad\quad$ B_2 = [2,85] = $- T_{Nmin} / \ln(1 - (C_{Smin} / C_{Smax}))$

T_{Nmin}	=	[300]	= Lowest total net income per month to provide child support (poverty amount).
C_{Smin}	=	[100]	= Lowest child support amount per month (welfare amount).
C_{Smax}	=	[1000]	= Maximum amount of child support per month (ceiling amount).

$K_3 = A_3 * \textbf{Kids} + B_3$
$\quad\quad$ **Kids** = [☺] = Children variable.
$\quad\quad$ A_3 = [0.11] = $(K_{3max} - K_{3min}) / (\textbf{Kids}_{max} - \textbf{Kids}_{min})$.
$\quad\quad$ B_3 = [0.89] = $(\textbf{Kids}_{max} * K_{3min} - \textbf{Kids}_{min} * K_{3max}) / (\textbf{Kids}_{max} - \textbf{Kids}_{min})$.

K_{3min}	=	[1]	= Value of Children multiplier at $\textbf{Kids}_{min.}$
$\textbf{Kids}_{min}$	=	[1]	= Minimum number of **Kids**.
K_{3max}	=	[2]	= Value of Children multiplier at $\textbf{Kids}_{max.}$
$\textbf{Kids}_{max}$	=	[10]	= Maximum number of **Kids**.

$K_4 = - 0.5 * (L_N / H_N) + 1$
$\quad\quad$ $L_N/H_N =$ [%] $\quad$ = Low/High earner net income variable.

Appendix Two: GZS Proposed Child Support Equation

K0 Alimony Amount Multiplier
-.5 * (Ln / Hn) + .5

[Chart: Y-axis from 0.00 to 2.00 in increments of 0.25; X-axis "Ln / Hn Income (%)" from 0 to 100 in increments of 10. A straight line decreasing from 0.50 at x=0 to 0.00 at x=100.]

Figure One: Change in the K0 multiplier for change in low/high earner net income   K0 is 0.5 for 0 low earner income. K0 is 0 for low earner income same as high earner income.

K1 Alimony Dispersment Multiplier
a1 * time + b1

[Chart: Y-axis from 0.00 to 2.00 in increments of 0.25; X-axis "Time (mo)" from 1 to 23+. A straight line decreasing from 1.00 at month 1 to 0.00 after 24 months.]

Figure Two: Changes in K1 multiplier for change in time. K1 is 1 for 1st month and decreases to 0 after 24 months.

Appendix Two: GZS Proposed Child Support Equation

K2 Income Multipier
$$1 - \exp(-Tn / b2)$$

Figure Three: Change in K2 multiplier for change in total net income. K2 exponentialy increases to 1 as total income increases.

K3 Children Multiplier
$$a3 * Kids + b3$$

Figure Four: Change in K3 multiplier for change in number of children. K3 is 1 for 1 child and increases to 2 for 10 children.

**K4 Support Multiplier**
$$- .5 * (Ln / Hn) + 1$$

Figure Five: Change in K4 multiplier for change in low/high earner net income. K4 is 1 for 0 low earner income. K4 decreases to 0.5 when low earner income equals high earner income.

••••