

Ground Zero Services

Volume 1, Issue 5

gndzerosrv@pavenet.net

December 2000

The Names were Changed to Protect the Ignorant

Crime don't pay, people do.

JIM

Ground Zero Services of LB

This is the city, Los Angeles, California. There are 9 million citizens who stretch every fiber of their being to make a place for themselves here. Trying to keep the pace with many others trying to take their place in this bustling metropolis they choose to call home. The ones who can't find a niche are forced to move on. The ones who can find a niche are lucky enough to stay. When the ones who can't find a niche, try to make a niche, at the expense of those whom already found a niche that's when I get involved.

My name is Joe Taxpayer. Today was just like any other day. My partner, Bill Passer and I where working day watch out of the fraud division. I looked at Bill (as only I can do) *"I don't have to tell you about the disdain I have for those selfless ingrates that scheme to swindle the life savings away from a hard working family man who is relentlessly striving to insure the prosperity of his family. Every time I think about the countless lives that were sacrificed fighting to guarantee our right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness and the idea that some arrogant, irreverent, piece of ..."*. Bill interrupted, *"Stop waiving that gun around Joe, we've got work to do. The captain says we may have a hot case"*.

[Continued on page 2](#)

It's a Crazy Chicken World

Entrusting family lawyers to insure fairness of a system is like leaving a fox to guard the hen house.

JIM

Ground Zero Services of LB

A little chicken once said *"The sky is falling, the sky is falling!"* Running around like a chicken with it's head cut off surely inspired the quote: *"If you are able to keep your head, when all around you are losing theirs, you don't understand the situation."*

If you distance yourself from the turmoil caused by an inadequate system or the ramifications of changing the faulty system, you begin to discover the secret to making chicken salad out of chicken sh-t. Do it over again.

Choose a profession that brings you satisfaction to insure

[Continued on page 2](#)

Lift a Stone and You will Find Me

If those who live in glass houses throw stones, you should have the right to throw them back.

JIM

Ground Zero Services of LB

Afghanistan, Iran, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, and United Arab Emirates still include stoning as a possible death penalty. A biblical punishment typically associated with some form of heresy or equally deserving sin. The practice may disappear if the executioners were properly screened: *"Let ye without sin cast the first stone"*. Unqualified participation in an event like stoning may invite divine liability. To limit our divine liability we should adopt the unified goal of preservation of our faith, the welfare of the faithful, and preservation of our ability to spread the faith. Strict adherence to these goals as the keystone of our faith we

[Continued on page 2](#)

Inside This Issue

1	The Names were Changed to Protect the Ignorant
1	It's a Crazy Chicken World
1	Lift a Stone and You will Find Me
2	I Want You to Beat Me Half to Death
3	GZS Baselines Child Support Control System Part V
4	Child Support Control System Analysis Part V

I Want You to Beat Me Half to Death

Everyone gets what they deserve.

JIM

Ground Zero Services of LB

Be aware that receiving double whatever someone else receives may not be in your best interest. If this arrangement only involves money, then perhaps your best interests are served, especially if cash only flows in your direction. It is also very important to keep in mind that employees who suddenly are forced to take a 60% pay-cut will not be able to bring themselves to maintain their current level of productivity. The employer is keenly aware of this affect and will usually remedy the situation in an expedient manner. The subject in question usually begins to feel the following effects. The blatant absurdity of the law raises questions that are like splinters in your mind. Your paycheck that serves as the backbone of your support is slashed to the bone. You are forced to carry this financial burden until you can't bear it any more. You are then attached to this financial burden not allowing you to feed yourself. Your ability to travel is usually restrained, attached to this financial burden. You will finally get stuck inside (a jail cell). You will begin to lose faith in the system that you feel has turned its back on you. You feel as though you deserve your fate because you betrayed the faith of your children. You begin to wonder if the system should be forgiven because it knows not what it does. Stigmata can be cured in our lifetime. The faithful will stop being crucified when Eve stops eating the apple. The money is for the children. Everyone gets what they deserve.

....

The Names were Changed to Protect the Ignorant

Continued from page 1

The captain ordered us to investigate a possible scam involving gov't agencies using the postal service to perpetrate a state wide mail fraud racket.. The subject seemed agitated, "You have to help me officers, they took everything I have, they threw me out of the house, they..." I stopped him, "Just the facts, man". He continued to explain that he was ordered to have 60% of his paycheck garnished until his employer fired him for financial insolvency. That two gov't agencies were billing him concurrently, one excessively. He said that any money paid outside the agencies would be a gift and wouldn't be applied to either bill. The agencies are both suspending his driver's license even though his trial was still pending and they won't tell him how much they think is owed. "Sounds like a child support problem" I said "We don't care, we don't have to. The facts are never relevant in family law, and won't be, until Joe Taxpayer and Bill Passer get involved. I think we can get your employer for \$500 though, thanks for the tip. Remember, crime don't pay, people do".

Dumb, Dumb-Dumb-Dumb

....

It's a Crazy Chicken World

Continued from page 1

you never have to work a day in your life. Don't squander your existence chasing chicken feed.

Take a job with every intention of finishing it, while establishing a system for others to follow. Perpetuating a job because you don't have the faith that you will find another, implies that you are chicken.

Leaving a job that was performed to the best of one's ability is natural. You were looking for a job when you found that one, and poultry few can share this view.

Never create a business that is funded through the misfortunes of others. This would impugn that you feather your nest using someone else's feathers.

Hen pecking is the first sign of a doomed relationship. A boob job is the last.

It is never too late to do the right thing. The reason why the chicken crossed the road was to get to the other side.

Entrusting family lawyers to insure fairness of a system is like leaving a fox to guard the hen house.

....

Lift a Stone and You will Find Me

Continued from page 1

can guarantee that at worst we will all go down together. The presumption is, that it is on earth, as it is in heaven. To assure ourselves that following our faith will be in full compliance with divine mandates we must institute a system of rules that are so steeped in fairness and decency, there would be no likelihood of deviation from any divine provisions. In fact, the system would be designed to be so robust, as to correct for inadequacies with it's continued use. A real time system that is driven by the spirit of the law that begged its existence. Faith has the ability to take quantum leaps, and its intensity knows no bounds. We have the tools and the talent to implement the obvious with concurrence of everyone who has a stake in their own fate.

Everyone should have the right to:

- 1) Know the identity of your biological parents.
- 2) Be treated equally among your peers
- 3) Have faith in a compliant system
- 4) Have faith in everyone's compliance.
- 5) Be granted the faith of your compliance.
- 6) Have access to the communication network
- 7) Travel freely in any mode of transportation.
- 8) Get what you pay for.
- 9) Stop paying if you don't.
- 10) If those who live in glass houses throw stones, you should have the right to throw them back.

....

GZS Baselines Child Support Control System Part V

When you have the clarity to see the glaring inadequacies of the system how can anyone voice their disgust of the system without incriminating himself for contempt of the court.

JIM

Ground Zero Services of LB

The baseline developed to this point has included many inappropriate or otherwise unscrupulous practices by litigants, psychiatrists, attorneys, paralegals, custody evaluation specialists, employers, and prosecutors. Thus far the courts have not been called on to render a contested decision since no attempt has been made to beg the court for leniency.

The federal government issued a mandate and established a very comprehensive set of provisions for each state to satisfy in establishing their child support guideline. The state legislature approved the child support guideline with an eye toward compliance with the aforementioned federal mandate. The Judicial Council was entrusted by the legislature to faithfully monitor the effects of the guideline and report every four years on possible improvements that may be instituted (CA Family Code 4067). The comprehensive investigation undertaken by the Judicial Council's crack team of family law specialists concentrated their attention on whether the courts were actually succeeding in imposing their guideline on litigants. The effects of the guideline that were related to the number of bankruptcies, loss of employment, noncompliance, welfare application, retaliatory violence, or suicides were not included in the analysis. Although the state named the children as the top priority (CA Family Code 4053.e), they were left out of the analysis completely. Although the state demands that child support orders must insure that the children actually receive fair, timely, and sufficient support (CA Family Code 4053.l), they have implemented no means to comply. Even more appalling is the complete lack of analytical decency or scientific decorum in review of the present system. The overriding presumption that qualifies the

child support amounts demanded by the courts is that the custodial parent will use a significant portion of the money toward the support of the children (CA Family Code 4053.i). Every scientific experiment includes preliminary presumptions and the resulting data from the experiment is used to validate these presumptions. Significant = Fairly large in amount or quantity. Portion = A section or quantity within a larger thing; a part of a whole. Without visibility of how much money received by the dependant parent is actually spent to support the children, there is absolutely no way the Judicial Council can say they are placing the interests of the children as the states top priority, or they are insuring the children actually receive the ordered support. The child support system in place today exists to benefit the dependant parent, at the risk of financially ruining the only parent the children have to support them, and denying the children any legal claim to their support.

The Judicial Council is staffed exclusively by family law specialists. The District Attorneys Association advertises job openings for the Judicial Council. The officers of the criminal courts and the officers of the civil courts have used a federal mandate to build an empire that is insulated from the state legislature. Unless the elected officials of the state are motivated to take a serious look at this self perpetuating money machine, the state may be exposed to legal liability for their ignorance of the situation.

Fraud

When an enforcement agency demands payment for child support, they should be cognizant of court orders that modify the payment amount or redirection of funds which are paid directly to the recipient. When another enforcement agency within the same state receives a filing to enforce payment, it should notify any other agency of their intentions, thereby avoiding double billing.

Due Process

When the equations put forth by the Judicial Council used to calculate child support are so ambiguous and lack any statistical basis, there is absolutely no rebuttable grounds to argue for deviation. Without a means to monitor how much child support money goes toward the children how can anyone argue that it is not. When judgements are entered in default without proper notification of the trial date, how can the court guarantee fairness. Without providing a definition of "*significant portion*", criminal non-support charges should only apply to that significant portion of money and disregard the insignificant portion (or whatever). When you have the clarity to see the glaring inadequacies of the system how can anyone voice their disgust of the system without incriminating himself for contempt of the court.

Incurable insanity = A unique perception of reality that will never change.



Inside Next Issue

1	What is the Last thing that You Know is True?
1	Did You Find the Beginning, So Now You Seek the End
1	On a Blind Date with Destiny, and She Ordered the Lobster
2	Front Row, Box Seat, Air Conditioned; Didn't see the Show
3	GZS Baselines Child Support Control System Part VI
4	Child Support Control System Analysis Part VI

Child Support Control System Analysis V

JIM

Ground Zero Services of LB

The focus of this analysis is to propose an equitable child support equation that is inherently fair, provided money ordered for child support is actually received by the children. The advantages follow:

- 1) Removes motivation for dissolution of marriage by low earner seeking financial independence.
- 2) Provides legal rebuttability essential to comply with the current federal mandate.
- 3) Provides for a maximum (ceiling) amount of child support rather than a percentage of high earner income.
- 4) Eliminates non-continuous functions and functions of multiple variables.
- 5) Eliminates high earner penalties for joint custody.
- 6) Provides time limit on disbursement of alimony, which gives low earner incentive to seek higher income level.

The multipliers described below are plotted in Figures One, Two, Three, Four, and Five that follow. A working spreadsheet, to allow customization of these curves based on new constants, is available upon request at gndzerosrv@pavenet.net.

CA Family Code 4055: $C_S = K * [H_N - H\% * T_N]$

Where: C_S = Child support money to be paid by high earner. T_N = Total net income of high and low earner.
 $H\%$ = Custody of children by high earner. H_N = High earner net income.
 K = Nonlinear functions of custody, children, and income.

GZS Proposal:

$$C_S = C_{Smax} * K_2 * K_3 * K_4 * [1 - H\%]$$

$$C_A = C_S * K_0 * K_1$$

Where: C_A = Alimony amount of C_S to supplement low earner. K_2 = Income multiplier varies with total income.
 C_{Smax} = Maximum child support amount (ceiling amount). K_3 = Children multiplier varies with number of kids.
 K_0 = Alimony Amount multiplier varies with each income. K_4 = Support multiplier varies with each income.
 K_1 = Alimony Disbursement multiplier varies with time.

$$K_0 = -\frac{1}{2} * (L_N / H_N) + \frac{1}{2}$$

$L_N/H_N = [\%]$ = Low/High earner net income variable.

$$K_1 = A_1 * \text{Time} + B_1$$

Time = [mo] = Time variable.
 $A_1 = [-0.04] = (K_{1max} - K_{1min}) / (t_{max} - t_{min})$
 $B_1 = [1.04] = (t_{max} * K_{1min} - t_{min} * K_{1max}) / (t_{max} - t_{min})$
 $K_{1min} = [1]$ = Value of Alimony Disbursement multiplier at t_{min}
 $t_{min} = [1]$ = First value of **Time**
 $K_{1max} = [0]$ = Value of Alimony Disbursement multiplier at t_{max}
 $t_{max} = [24]$ = Last value of **Time**

$$K_2 = 1 - \exp(-T_N / B_2)$$

$T_N = [\$/mo]$ = Total net income variable.
 $B_2 = [2,847] = -T_{Nmin} / \ln(1 - (C_{Smin} / C_{Smax}))$
 $T_{Nmin} = [300]$ = Lowest total net income per month to provide child support (poverty amount).
 $C_{Smin} = [100]$ = Lowest child support amount per month (welfare amount).
 $C_{Smax} = [1000]$ = Maximum amount of child support per month (ceiling amount).

$$K_3 = A_3 * \text{Kids} + B_3$$

Kids = [⊙] = Children variable.
 $A_3 = [0.11] = (K_{3max} - K_{3min}) / (Kids_{max} - Kids_{min})$.
 $B_3 = [0.89] = (Kids_{max} * K_{3min} - Kids_{min} * K_{3max}) / (Kids_{max} - Kids_{min})$.
 $K_{3min} = [1]$ = Value of Children multiplier at $Kids_{min}$.
 $Kids_{min} = [1]$ = Minimum number of **Kids**.
 $K_{3max} = [2]$ = Value of Children multiplier at $Kids_{max}$.
 $Kids_{max} = [10]$ = Maximum number of **Kids**.

$$K_4 = -\frac{1}{2} * (L_N / H_N) + 1$$

$L_N/H_N = [\%]$ = Low/High earner net income variable.




Figure One: Change in the K0 multiplier for change in low/high earner net income. K0 is 0.5 for 0 low earner income. K0 is 0 for low earner income same as high earner income.




Figure Two: Changes in K1 multiplier for change in time. K1 is 1 for 1st month and decreases to 0 after 24 months.

Continued on page 6




Figure Three: Change in K2 multiplier for change in total net income. K2 exponentially increases to 1 as total income increases.




Figure Four: Change in K3 multiplier for change in number of children. K3 is 1 for 1 child and increases to 2 for 10 children.




Figure Five: Change in K4 multiplier for change in low/high earner net income. K4 is 1 for 0 low earner income. K4 decreases to 0.5 when low earner income equals high earner income.

••••